



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,787		01/04/2002	Mulham Bayassi	11345.046001	7267
22511	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
OSHA L			ENG, GEORGE		
SUITE 28		Y STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTO	N, TX	77010		2643	
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/019,787	BAYASSI, MULH	BAYASSI, MULHAM					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		George Eng	2643						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve on. a reply within the statutory minimulariod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>08 April 2005</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□	 Claim(s) 6-8,16-18,23,26-28,34-36,38 and 49-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6-8,16-18,23,26-28,34-36,38 and 49-54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. 								
Applicat	ion Papers		·						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	` '	🗖 .							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) ∐ Ini 8)	terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S rr No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 No	otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:	O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 4/8/2005.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 1/4/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 1/4/2002. These drawings are acceptable.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,787 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this

or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

5. Claims 6-8, 23, 26-28, 34, 38, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being

anticipated by Rippingale et al. (GB 2331423A hereinafter Rippingale).

Regarding claim 23, Rippingale discloses a method of communicating with a mail center,

comprising running an email application on a receiver (10, figure 1), wherein the receiver is a

receiver of broadcast signals and wherein the receiver is used to provide a user with access to a

plurality of television programs and services, and displaying with the receiver by means of the

email application an email notification received in a broadcast signal at said receiver (pages 5-8).

Regarding claims 6-8, Rippingale discloses to store information relating to e-mail

accounts at a central location, wherein information relating to e-mail accounts is linked to

identifiers of receivers and smart card numbers of the receiver, and wherein the broadcast signal

is part of a subscription service and information relating to e-mail account is linked to

information relating to the subscription service (abstract and pages 7-8).

Regarding claim 16, Mandalia discloses the broadcast signal being a digital data stream

(page 3 and page 6).

Regarding claims 26-28, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set

forth in claims 6-8.

Regarding claim 34, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 16.

Regarding claim 38, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 23.

Regarding claim 49, Rippingale discloses the broadcast being via cable (page 3).

Regarding claim 50, Rippingale discloses the email being sent without any prompt (pages 8-9).

Regarding claim 52, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 49.

Regarding claim 53, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 17-18 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rippingale et al. (GB 2331423A hereinafter Rippingale) in view of Uchida et al. (US PAT. 6,327,610 hereinafter Uchida).

Regarding claims 17-18, Rippingale differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching the notification being sent as a section of the digital data stream by way of an entitlement management message. However, Uchida teaches a broadcast communication system for sending a portion of electronic mails with an access code, i.e., a notification, to an accepting station prior to transmission of a main body of electronic mail in order to prevent enormous traffic from concentrating on a part of a network at the time of data transmission (col. 6 line 62 through col. 9 line 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rippingale in having the notification being sent as a section of the digital data stream by way of an entitlement management message, as per teaching of Uchida, because it prevents enormous traffic from concentrating on a part of a network at the time of data transmission.

Regarding claims 35-36, the limitations of the claims are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claims 17-18.

8. Claims 51 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rippingale et al. (GB 2331423A hereinafter Rippingale) in view of Bosco (US PAT. 6,335,963).

Regarding claim 51, Rippingale differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching to request by the receiver for broadcast notification from the mail center of waiting emails. However, it is old and notoriously well known in the art of a user sending a request to the mail center for broadcast notification of waiting mails by registering the user with an e-mail service to be notified of a received e-mail, for example see Bosco (abstract and col. 3 line 41 through col. 4 line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/019,787

Art Unit: 2643

art at the time the invention was made to modify Rippingale in having requesting by the receiver for broadcast notification from the mail center of waiting emails, as per teaching of Bosco, in order to make user friendly of requesting e-mail notification service based upon user needs.

Regarding claim 54, the limitations of the claim are rejected as the same reasons set forth in claim 51.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-8, 16-18, 23, 26-28, 34-36, 38 and 49-54 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,787

Art Unit: 2643

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is 703-308-9555. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 7:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Curtis A. Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Eng

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2643